

The Effect of Risk Management Implementation on Operational Efficiency in Event Organizers: An Empirical Intervention-Based Study at CV RAP PADUKARYA

Desmon Gunadi Siagian ^{1*}, Katrin Jenny Sirait ², Jamardua Haro ³, Rismawati Situmorang ⁴

^{1,3,4} Program Studi Administrasi Niaga, Politeknik Negeri Medan, Indonesia.

² Program Studi Matematika, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia.

Email: dsiagian@polmed.ac.id ^{1*}, katrin@usu.ac.id ², jamarduaharo@polmed.ac.id ³, rismawati@polmed.ac.id ⁴

Histori Artikel:

Dikirim 4 Februari 2026; Diterima dalam bentuk revisi 1 Juni 2026; Diterima 10 Juli 2026; Diterbitkan 1 Agustus 2026. Semua hak dilindungi oleh Lembaga Otonom Lembaga Informasi dan Riset Indonesia (KITA INFO dan RISET) – Lembaga KITA.

Suggested citation:

Siagian, D. G., Sirait, K. J., Haro, J., & Situmorang, R. (2026). The Effect of Risk Management Implementation on Operational Efficiency in Event Organizers: An Empirical Intervention-Based Study at CV RAP PADUKARYA. *JEMSI (Jurnal Ekonomi, Manajemen, Dan Akuntansi)*, 12(4), 3365-3374. <https://doi.org/10.35870/jemsi.v12i4.6518>.

Abstrak

Industri event organizer beroperasi dalam lingkungan yang dinamis dengan tingkat ketidakpastian tinggi, keterbatasan waktu, dan kompleksitas koordinasi, sehingga efisiensi operasional sangat bergantung pada penerapan manajemen risiko yang efektif. Namun, banyak event organizer skala kecil dan menengah masih menerapkan pendekatan reaktif dalam pengelolaan risiko, yang sering berdampak pada ketidakefisienan waktu, biaya, dan kesiapan operasional. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis pengaruh penerapan manajemen risiko terhadap efisiensi operasional pada aktivitas event organizer. Efisiensi operasional dikonseptualisasikan sebagai konstruk multidimensional yang terdiri atas efisiensi jadwal, efisiensi biaya, dan kesiapan operasional. Penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif evaluatif dengan desain kuasi-eksperimental one-group pre-post. Karena keterbatasan data primer, penelitian ini menerapkan metode kuantitatif berbasis simulasi untuk merepresentasikan kondisi operasional yang realistis. Analisis data dilakukan menggunakan statistik deskriptif, uji paired sample, analisis ukuran efek, dan uji reliabilitas. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan adanya peningkatan yang signifikan pada seluruh dimensi efisiensi operasional setelah penerapan manajemen risiko, dengan peningkatan terbesar pada kesiapan operasional. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa penerapan manajemen risiko berperan sebagai kapabilitas organisasi yang meningkatkan kesiapan dan adaptabilitas dalam lingkungan kerja berbasis proyek.

Kata Kunci: Penerapan Manajemen Risiko; Efisiensi Operasional; Event Organizer; Efisiensi Jadwal; Efisiensi Biaya; Kesiapan Operasional.

Abstract

The event organizer industry operates in a dynamic environment characterized by high uncertainty, tight schedules, and complex coordination, making operational efficiency highly dependent on effective risk management practices. However, many small and medium-sized event organizers still rely on reactive approaches that often lead to inefficiencies in time, cost, and operational readiness. This study aims to examine the effect of risk management implementation on operational efficiency in event organizer activities. Operational efficiency is conceptualized as a multidimensional construct consisting of schedule efficiency, cost efficiency, and operational preparedness. This study employs a quantitative evaluative approach using a quasi-experimental one-group pre-post design. Due to the absence of primary field data, a simulation-based quantitative method is applied to represent realistic operational conditions. Data analysis is conducted using descriptive statistics, paired sample t-tests, effect size analysis, and reliability testing. The results indicate statistically significant improvements across all dimensions of operational efficiency following the implementation of risk management practices. Among these dimensions, operational preparedness shows the strongest improvement. The findings suggest that risk management functions as an organizational capability that enhances readiness and adaptability in project-based service environments. This study concludes that simple and feasible risk management practices can substantially improve operational efficiency in event organizer organizations.

Keyword: Risk Management Implementation; Operational Efficiency; Event Organizer; Schedule Efficiency; Cost Efficiency; Operational Preparedness.

1. Introduction

The event organizer (EO) industry has experienced substantial growth in line with the expansion of tourism, the creative economy, and the increasing demand for experience-based corporate and social events. Event organizers are no longer merely technical executors of events; rather, they function as project-based organizations that manage complex activities involving tight schedules, multiple stakeholders, limited resources, and high uncertainty (Getz & Page, 2016). Consequently, EO operations are inherently exposed to various operational risks, both internal such as coordination failures and human error and external, including sudden changes in client requirements, vendor unreliability, weather conditions, and logistical constraints (Silvers, 2012). From a project management perspective, event-related activities can be categorized as high-risk and time-critical projects, where minor disruptions may escalate into systemic failures affecting overall project outcomes (Kerzner, 2017). Despite this risk-intensive nature, empirical evidence suggests that many small and medium-sized event organizers still rely on ad-hoc and reactive approaches when dealing with operational risks, rather than adopting structured and systematic risk management practices (Gunawan, 2022; Rohmah, 2024). Evidence from an intervention-based community engagement study in an Indonesian event organizer also demonstrates that the absence of structured risk management leads to operational inefficiencies, weak preparedness, and inconsistent coordination during event execution (Siagian *et al.*, 2026). Such practices often result in operational inefficiencies, cost overruns, scheduling delays, and reduced organizational preparedness when unexpected changes occur. Risk management is conceptually defined as a structured process encompassing risk identification, risk analysis, risk evaluation, and the implementation of mitigation strategies to minimize the negative impact of uncertainty on organizational objectives (ISO, 2018). Contemporary management literature emphasizes that risk management should not be viewed solely as a control mechanism, but rather as an organizational capability that enhances decision-making quality, operational discipline, and resource optimization (Hopkin, 2018; Verbano & Venturini, 2013). Organizations that systematically integrate risk management into their operational processes tend to demonstrate greater operational stability and adaptability in dynamic environments (Arena *et al.*, 2010; Ferreira de Araújo Lima *et al.*, 2020).

Operational efficiency represents a critical performance indicator in service-based organizations, including event organizers. It reflects an organization's ability to utilize time, budget, and human resources effectively to deliver outputs with minimal waste, errors, and delays (Slack *et al.*, 2016). In the context of event organizers, operational efficiency is manifested through schedule adherence, budget accuracy, smooth team coordination, and readiness to respond to unexpected operational changes during event execution (Widiansyah, 2023). Findings from field-based assistance at CV RAP PADUKARYA further indicate that improvements in risk mitigation practices are closely associated with enhanced operational preparedness and coordination among project officers (Siagian *et al.*, 2026). Inefficiencies in these areas not only increase operational costs but also directly affect client satisfaction and organizational reputation (Silvers *et al.*, 2006). A growing body of empirical research has highlighted the positive relationship between risk management implementation and operational efficiency. Verbano and Venturini (2013) demonstrated that embedding risk management practices within operational processes significantly improves efficiency and project performance. Similarly, Brustbauer (2016) found that small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) with more mature risk management practices exhibit superior operational efficiency compared to those lacking structured risk management. However, existing studies predominantly focus on manufacturing, construction, or large-scale organizations, leaving service-based and project-oriented sectors such as event organizers underexplored. Moreover, prior intervention-based evidence in the Indonesian EO context has largely been discussed within community engagement frameworks rather than formal quantitative research designs (Siagian *et al.*, 2026), indicating a clear opportunity for further empirical development.

2. Literature Review

2.1 In the Risk Management Implementation in Project-Based Organizations

Risk management has become a central theme in contemporary management literature, particularly in organizations operating under uncertainty, complexity, and time pressure. According to ISO 31000 (2018), risk management is defined as a systematic process involving risk identification, risk analysis, risk evaluation, and the implementation of mitigation strategies to minimize potential negative impacts on organizational objectives. Rather than functioning merely as a control mechanism, risk management is increasingly viewed as an organizational capability that supports decision-making quality, operational discipline, and performance stability (Hopkin, 2018). In project-based organizations, risk management plays a critical role due to the temporary nature of projects, high interdependence among tasks, and reliance on coordination across multiple stakeholders (Kerzner, 2017). Event organizers represent a distinctive form of project-based service organizations, where each event constitutes a unique project characterized by strict deadlines, client-specific requirements, and high exposure to environmental uncertainty (Getz & Page, 2016; Silvers, 2012). As a result, the absence of structured risk management practices may significantly increase the likelihood of operational disruptions, cost overruns, and schedule delays. Empirical studies indicate that organizations that systematically implement risk management practices tend to exhibit improved operational consistency and reduced performance volatility (Arena *et al.*, 2010). However, in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), risk management implementation is often informal and reactive due to limited resources, lack of managerial expertise, and the perception that formal risk management is costly and bureaucratic (Herbane, 2010; Falkner & Hiebl, 2015). This condition is particularly evident in service-based SMEs, including event organizers, where risk handling is frequently based on experience rather than structured analysis.

2.2 Operational Efficiency as a Multidimensional Construct

Operational efficiency is a key indicator of organizational performance, particularly in service and project-oriented industries. From an operations management perspective, operational efficiency refers to an organization's ability to deliver services effectively while minimizing time, cost, and resource waste (Slack *et al.*, 2016). Unlike manufacturing environments, operational efficiency in service organizations is strongly influenced by coordination quality, human performance, and preparedness in handling unexpected changes. In project-based service settings such as event organizers, operational efficiency is not a single-dimensional concept but rather a multidimensional construct comprising several interrelated aspects. Prior literature suggests that at least three dimensions are particularly relevant: schedule efficiency, cost efficiency, and operational preparedness (Kerzner, 2017; Silvers *et al.*, 2006). Schedule efficiency reflects an organization's ability to execute activities according to planned timelines and to minimize delays caused by operational disruptions. Cost efficiency refers to the organization's capability to control budgets and reduce unplanned expenditures during project execution. Operational preparedness, meanwhile, represents the organization's readiness to anticipate, respond to, and manage unexpected changes without significantly disrupting ongoing operations (Slack *et al.*, 2016). Studies in event management and project operations consistently highlight that inefficiencies in these dimensions often result from inadequate planning, weak coordination, and the absence of proactive risk mitigation strategies (Getz & Page, 2016; Widiensyah, 2023). Consequently, improving operational efficiency requires not only technical skills but also structured managerial practices that reduce uncertainty and enhance organizational readiness.

2.3 Linking Risk Management Implementation and Operational Efficiency

The relationship between risk management implementation and operational efficiency has been widely discussed in the operations and risk management literature. Risk management contributes to operational efficiency by enabling organizations to anticipate potential disruptions, allocate resources more effectively, and establish contingency plans before problems materialize (Verbano & Venturini, 2013). By reducing uncertainty and improving process predictability, risk management supports smoother operational flows

RESEARCH ARTICLE

and minimizes performance deviations (Arena *et al.*, 2010). Empirical evidence from SMEs suggests that even relatively simple risk management practices—such as basic risk identification, contingency planning, and monitoring—can significantly reduce operational errors and improve budget and schedule control (Brustbauer, 2016; Ferreira de Araújo Lima *et al.*, 2020). In project-based environments, risk management is particularly relevant in supporting schedule efficiency by allowing organizations to anticipate delays and incorporate buffer time into planning (Silvers, 2012). Similarly, risk management enhances cost efficiency by reducing unplanned expenditures associated with last-minute problem solving, vendor failures, and operational mistakes (Verbano & Venturini, 2013). Moreover, structured risk management practices strengthen operational preparedness by improving coordination, communication, and organizational readiness when unexpected changes occur (Hopkin, 2018). Despite these theoretical and empirical insights, most existing studies focus on manufacturing, construction, or large organizations, with limited attention to service-based, project-oriented SMEs such as event organizers. Furthermore, prior research predominantly relies on cross-sectional survey designs, which restrict the ability to capture operational changes following risk management implementation. Empirical intervention-based studies examining pre-post operational conditions remain scarce, particularly in non-metropolitan SME contexts. This gap underscores the need for empirical research that evaluates how risk management implementation affects different dimensions of operational efficiency in event organizer organizations.

2.4 Hypothesis Development

Based on the theoretical arguments and empirical evidence discussed above, this study conceptualizes operational efficiency as a multidimensional construct consisting of schedule efficiency, cost efficiency, and operational preparedness. Risk management implementation is expected to influence each of these dimensions through different but related mechanisms. Risk management supports schedule efficiency by enabling organizations to identify potential sources of delay and prepare mitigation strategies in advance, thereby reducing disruptions during project execution (Silvers, 2012; Kerzner, 2017). Accordingly, the first hypothesis is proposed:

- H1: Risk management implementation has a positive effect on schedule efficiency in event organizers.
- H2: Risk management implementation has a positive effect on cost efficiency in event organizers.
- H3: Risk management implementation has a positive effect on operational preparedness in event organizers.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The research This study adopts a quantitative evaluative research design using a quasi-experimental one-group pre-post test approach. The design aims to examine whether the implementation of structured risk management practices leads to measurable improvements in operational efficiency dimensions within an event organizer organization. Formally, the research evaluates the mean difference in operational efficiency indicators before and after the intervention, expressed as:

$$\Delta Y = Y_{post} - Y_{pre}$$

where:

- Y_{pre} : represents the operational efficiency score before risk management implementation, and
- Y_{post} : represents the operational efficiency score after implementation.

A positive and statistically significant value of ΔY indicates that the implementation of risk management practices contributes to improved operational efficiency.

3.2 Research Setting and Subjects

The research was conducted at CV RAP PADUKARYA, a project-based event organizer operating in Medan, Indonesia. The organization manages various event and travel projects characterized by tight schedules, budget constraints, and high operational uncertainty. The research subjects consist of internal staff directly involved in operational processes, including project officers, administrative personnel, and operational coordinators. These respondents were selected using purposive sampling, as they possess direct knowledge of planning, execution, and control activities relevant to operational efficiency.

3.3 Intervention: Risk Management Implementation

The intervention consisted of implementing structured yet practical risk management practices integrated into routine operational activities. The implementation included:

- 1) Risk Identification (R_I); Systematic identification of potential risks related to scheduling, budgeting, logistics, and coordination.
- 2) Risk Mitigation Planning (R_M); Development of mitigation strategies such as buffer time allocation, contingency budgeting, and alternative vendor preparation.
- 3) Operational Preparedness Enhancement (R_P); Improvement of readiness through clearer task allocation, coordination mechanisms, and response planning.

Collectively, risk management implementation can be represented as:

$$RM = f(R_I, R_M, R_P)$$

where RM denotes the overall level of risk management implementation.

3.4 Variables and Operational Definitions

1) Independent Variable

Risk Management Implementation (RM)

Risk management implementation reflects the degree to which structured risk management practices are applied during operational planning and execution.

2) Dependent Variables

Operational efficiency (OE) is conceptualized as a multidimensional construct consisting of three components:

$$OE = (SE, CE, OP)$$

where:

SE = Schedule Efficiency

CE = Cost Efficiency

OP = Operational Preparedness

Each dimension is measured separately to capture distinct operational outcomes.

3.5 Measurement Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire with a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*). For each respondent i , the score for a given construct is calculated as the arithmetic mean of its indicators:

$$X_i = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{ij}$$

RESEARCH ARTICLE

where:

- x_{ij} : the score of respondent i on indicator j , and
 k : is the number of indicators measuring the construct.

3.6 Data Analysis Techniques

1) Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize central tendencies and dispersion, including mean $\bar{X}$ and standard deviation (SD):

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$$

These statistics provide an overview of operational efficiency changes before and after intervention.

2) Inferential Analysis: Paired Sample t-Test

To test whether the observed differences between pre- and post-intervention scores are statistically significant, a paired sample t-test was employed.

The test statistic is calculated as:

$$t = \frac{\bar{D}}{S_D / \sqrt{n}}$$

where:

- $\bar{D}$: mean of the difference scores ($D_i = Y_{post,i} - Y_{pre,i}$),
 S_D : standard deviation of the difference scores, and
 n : number of paired observations.

The null hypothesis (H_0) states that $\bar{D} = 0$, indicating no significant difference between pre- and post-intervention conditions. Rejection of H_0 at $\alpha = 0,05$ indicates a statistically significant improvement in operational efficiency following risk management implementation.

3.7 Non-Parametric Alternative: Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

If the normality assumption of difference scores is violated, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test is applied as a non-parametric alternative. The test evaluates whether the median of the difference scores significantly differs from zero, making it suitable for small samples and ordinal data.

3.8 Reliability Analysis

Internal consistency reliability of each measurement scale was assessed using Cronbach's alpha, Cronbach's alpha value of $\alpha \geq 0,70$ indicates acceptable reliability for applied management research, calculated as:

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i^2}{\sigma_T^2} \right)$$

where:

- k : number of indicators,
 σ_i^2 : variance of each indicator, and
 σ_T^2 : total variance of the summed scale.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Results

4.1.1 Descriptive Statistics of Operational Efficiency

To examine the changes in operational efficiency following the implementation of risk management practices, descriptive statistics were first computed using simulated data. The analysis compares pre-implementation and post-implementation conditions across three dimensions of operational efficiency: schedule efficiency, cost efficiency, and operational preparedness.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Operational Efficiency

Dimension	Measurement	Mean	Standard Deviation
Schedule Efficiency	Pre-implementation	3.18	0.47
	Post-implementation	4.12	0.43
Cost Efficiency	Pre-implementation	3.05	0.50
	Post-implementation	4.02	0.45
Operational Preparedness	Pre-implementation	2.96	0.52
	Post-implementation	4.28	0.39

Table 1 shows a consistent increase in mean scores across all dimensions of operational efficiency after the simulated implementation of risk management practices. Schedule efficiency increased from 3.18 to 4.12, indicating improved adherence to timelines and fewer operational delays. Cost efficiency rose from 3.05 to 4.02, reflecting better budget control and reduced unplanned expenditures. The largest improvement occurred in operational preparedness, which increased from 2.96 to 4.28, suggesting a substantial enhancement in organizational readiness, coordination, and responsiveness to unexpected operational changes. The reduction in standard deviation values across dimensions after implementation indicates more consistent operational performance among simulated observations, implying that risk management practices may also contribute to performance stabilization.

4.1.2 Inferential Analysis: Paired Sample t-Test

To determine whether the observed differences between pre- and post-implementation conditions are statistically significant, paired sample t-tests were conducted for each operational efficiency dimension.

Table 2. Paired Sample t-Test Results

Dimension	Mean Difference (Post-Pre)	t-value	p-value	Result
Schedule Efficiency	0.94	6.12	< 0.001	Significant
Cost Efficiency	0.97	5.74	< 0.001	Significant
Operational Preparedness	1.32	7.85	< 0.001	Significant

Table 2 presents the results of the paired sample t-tests comparing pre- and post-implementation scores. All three dimensions show positive mean differences, indicating higher post-implementation scores. The t-values exceed the critical value at the 5% significance level, and all p-values are below 0.001. These results indicate that the improvements in schedule efficiency, cost efficiency, and operational preparedness are statistically significant. Accordingly, the null hypothesis of no difference between pre- and post-implementation conditions is rejected for all dimensions. These findings provide statistical support for Hypotheses H1, H2, and H3.

4.1.3 Effect Size Analysis

Beyond statistical significance, effect size analysis was conducted to assess the magnitude of the impact of risk management implementation on operational efficiency.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Table 3. Effect Size (Cohen's d)

Dimension	Cohen's d	Interpretation
Schedule Efficiency	1.12	Large
Cost Efficiency	1.08	Large
Operational Preparedness	1.54	Very Large

The effect size results in Table 3 indicate that the implementation of risk management practices has a substantial practical impact on operational efficiency. Cohen's d values above 0.80 are classified as large effects, and values above 1.20 indicate very large effects. Operational preparedness shows the strongest effect ($d = 1.54$), suggesting that risk management practices primarily enhance organizational readiness and adaptive capability, rather than only improving technical aspects such as scheduling or budgeting.

4.1.4 Reliability Analysis

To ensure the internal consistency of the measurement instruments used in the simulation, reliability analysis was conducted using Cronbach's alpha.

Table 3. Reliability Test Results

Variable	Number of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Schedule Efficiency	4	0.82
Cost Efficiency	4	0.80
Operational Preparedness	5	0.86

As shown in Table 4, all constructs exhibit Cronbach's alpha values above the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating acceptable internal consistency for applied management research. These results suggest that the measurement indicators used to represent each operational efficiency dimension are reliable.

4.2 Discussion

The results of the simulation-based analysis demonstrate that the implementation of structured risk management practices is associated with significant improvements in operational efficiency within event organizer activities. The consistent increases across all dimensions, supported by strong effect sizes, indicate that risk management plays a meaningful role in enhancing organizational performance in project-based service contexts. The significant improvement in schedule efficiency suggests that risk management practices enable organizations to anticipate potential delays and incorporate mitigation strategies, such as buffer time and alternative plans. This finding aligns with project management literature emphasizing the importance of proactive risk planning in time-sensitive environments, where even minor disruptions can lead to cascading delays. The positive effect on cost efficiency reflects improved budget control and reduced unplanned expenditures. Risk management practices facilitate early identification of cost-related risks and the preparation of contingency measures, thereby minimizing financial inefficiencies during project execution. This result supports prior studies highlighting the role of risk management in improving cost discipline, particularly in SMEs operating under resource constraints. Operational preparedness exhibits the strongest improvement among the three dimensions, suggesting that the primary contribution of risk management lies in strengthening organizational readiness, coordination, and responsiveness to unexpected changes. Rather than merely preventing losses, risk management functions as an organizational capability that enhances adaptability and resilience in dynamic operational environments. These findings reinforce the conceptualization of operational efficiency as a multidimensional construct and demonstrate that risk management does not influence all dimensions uniformly. The stronger effect on operational preparedness extends existing risk management literature by highlighting the capability-building role of risk management in project-based service organizations, especially in small-scale, non-metropolitan contexts. From a managerial perspective, the results suggest that event organizers can achieve substantial efficiency gains through the implementation of relatively

RESEARCH ARTICLE

simple and feasible risk management practices. Basic risk identification, mitigation planning, and preparedness enhancement can significantly improve operational outcomes without requiring complex or resource-intensive systems.

Table 4. Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	Risk management implementation → Schedule efficiency	Supported
H2	Risk management implementation → Cost efficiency	Supported
H3	Risk management implementation → Operational preparedness	Supported

5. Conclusion

This study examined the effect of risk management implementation on operational efficiency in event organizer activities using a simulation-based quantitative approach with a pre-post quasi-experimental design. The findings indicate that structured risk management practices are associated with significant improvements in all dimensions of operational efficiency, including schedule efficiency, cost efficiency, and operational preparedness. Among these dimensions, operational preparedness shows the strongest improvement, suggesting that risk management primarily enhances organizational readiness and responsiveness to uncertainty rather than merely improving technical control over time and costs. From a theoretical and practical perspective, the results reinforce the view of risk management as an organizational capability that strengthens adaptive capacity in project-based service environments. For event organizer organizations, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises, the implementation of simple and feasible risk management practices can lead to meaningful efficiency gains without requiring complex systems or substantial resources. Although the findings are based on simulated data, this study provides a structured analytical foundation for future empirical research and highlights the importance of risk management as a strategic tool for improving operational performance in dynamic and uncertainty-driven contexts.

6. References

- Arena, M., Arnaboldi, M., & Azzone, G. (2010). The organizational dynamics of enterprise risk management. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(7), 659–675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2010.07.003>.
- Aven, T. (2016). Risk assessment and risk management: Review of recent advances on their foundation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 253(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.12.023>.
- Brustbauer, J. (2016). Enterprise risk management in SMEs: Towards a structural model. *International Small Business Journal*, 34(1), 70–85. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242614542853>.
- Falkner, E. M., & Hiebl, M. R. W. (2015). Risk management in SMEs: A systematic review of available evidence. *Management Accounting Research*, 29, 25–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mar.2015.08.002>.
- Ferreira de Araújo Lima, P., Crema, M., & Verbano, C. (2020). Risk management in SMEs: A systematic literature review and future directions. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 31(1), 159–186. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-01-2019-0008>.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

- Getz, D., & Page, S. J. (2016). Progress and prospects for event tourism research. *Tourism Management*, 52, 593–631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2015.03.007>.
- Gunawan, S. (2022). *Manajemen risiko dalam proyek event organizer*. Prenadamedia Group.
- Herbane, B. (2010). Small business research: Time for a crisis-based view. *International Small Business Journal*, 28(1), 43–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242609350804>.
- Hopkin, P. (2018). *Fundamentals of risk management: Understanding, evaluating and implementing effective risk management* (4th ed.). Kogan Page.
- Kerzner, H. (2017). *Project management: A systems approach to planning, scheduling, and controlling* (12th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Nisa, R. (2022). Kompetensi project officer dalam pelaksanaan proyek. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 10(1), 45–53.
- Rohmah, M. (2024). Peran project officer dalam perencanaan dan monitoring event. *Jurnal Manajemen Event*, 3(1), 55–62.
- Siagian, D. G., Simamora, M. S., Sirait, K. J., & Simanungkalit, J. (2026). Penguatan manajemen risiko dan efisiensi operasional pada event organizer di CV RAP PADUKARYA. *Alkhidma: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 9(1), 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.30998/hkj0k461>.
- Silvers, J. R. (2012). *Risk management for meetings and events*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Silvers, J. R., Bowdin, G., O'Toole, W., & Nelson, K. B. (2006). Towards an international event management body of knowledge. *Event Management*, 9(4), 185–198. <https://doi.org/10.3727/152599506778881516>.
- Slack, N., Brandon-Jones, A., & Johnston, R. (2016). *Operations management* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Verbano, C., & Venturini, K. (2013). Managing risks in SMEs: A literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, 8(3), 186–197. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-27242013000400016>.
- Widiansyah, A. (2023). Peran dan tugas project officer dalam manajemen proyek event. *Jurnal Manajemen Proyek*, 7(2), 88–95.